Planning and Research Paper No. 2-May, 1981.

#### SPECIAL CASH ASSISTANCE: A REGIONAL ANALYSIS OF TRENDS OVER THE FOUR YEARS 1977-78—1980-81

KEVIN DENT—Planning and Research Unit—Planning Research and Evaluation Directorate

# N.S.W. DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

#### CONTENTS

- 1. Synopsis
- 2. N.S.W. Analysis 1977-78-1980-81
  - 2.1. Summary Table I
  - 2.2. Grants Issued
  - 2.3. Commonwealth Income Maintenance Clients
  - 2.4. Average Amounts of Grants
  - 2.5. Expenditures
- 3. Inter-Regional Variations 1977-78-1980-81
- 4. Intra-Regional Breakdowns 1977-78-1980-81
  - 4.1. Central/South East Metropolitan
  - 4.2. South West Metropolitan
  - 4.3. North East Metropolitan
  - 4.4. North West Metropolitan
  - 4.5. Hunter
  - 4.6. Illawarra/South Eastern
  - 4.7. Riverina/Murray
  - 4.8. North Coast
  - 4.9. New England
  - 4.10. Central West/Orana/Far West
- 5. Projections for 1981-82 Financial Year

### 1. SYNOPSIS

The Department of Youth and Community Services provides emergency financial and material assistance to low income families and individuals. This assistance is means tested and directed towards persons receiving pensions or benefits from the Commonwealth Government. It is used for a variety of purposes including payment of gas and electricity accounts. Financial assistance is made available by way of special cash grants. This report is concerned with the provisions of this type of assistance and trends which have become evident over the last four years.

A survey has been conducted each year for the last four years of all special cash grants (general) and special cash grants (housing) issued over the three month period 1st November to 31st January. The survey format involved collection of data on an office-by-office basis and details four items, viz.; the number of grants issued over the survey period, the number of grants issued to Commonwealth Pensioners and

beneficiaries, the number of grants exceeding \$50 and the total cost of grants. At the same time information was collected on continuing cash grants which will be presented in a separate report.

Table 1 on page 46 provides a summary of the aggregate results of the four surveys. Regional breakdowns are used throughout this report. The main points to emerge are:

- (a) The number of cash grants issued has increased significantly each year. The most recent annual increase indicated by the survey was 61.5 per cent.
- (b) Expenditure significantly increased each year and since the 1979-80 survey has increased by 68.1 per cent. The higher rate of growth in expenditure than grants it accounted for by a 2.2 per cent rise in the average grant issued. The average overall grant is now \$48.77.
- (c) The average amount of each grant has risen by \$3.83 in the last four years, a rise of 8.5 per cent. The average level of grant issued in country regions over the last four years has risen by 34.1 per cent, compared to a reduction of 1.4 per cent in the four metropolitan regions over the same period.
- (d) The average grant issued in a country region is now \$50.77, \$3.12 higher than the average metropolitan regional grant. This is the first year in which country grants have been, on average, higher than metropolitan grants.
- (e) Five out of the six country regions and two out of the four metropolitan regions had average grant levels above the State average. However, the three regions issuing grants below the State average accounted for more than half the total number of grants issued. The survey data suggests a tendency towards an inverse relationship between the number of grants issued and the average amounts of grants; in other words, the fewer grants issued the higher the average amount tended to be.
- (f) the four metropolitan regions account for 64.1 per cent of all grants issued and 62.6 per cent of total expenditure. The six country regions account for 35.9 per cent of grants and 37.4 per cent of expenditure.
- (g) The proportion of grants being issued to persons receiving Commonwealth Pensions and benefits now stands at 92.8 per cent. This is the highest level it has been during the four years. In 1977–78 the proportion was 75.3 per cent.
- (h) Given inflation rates of 8-10 per cent over each of the last four years and a rise of only 8.5 per cent in the average grant level over the same period, the current real value of average grants is now less than what it was four years ago. Grants have diminished in real value more so in the metropolitan regions than the country regions.

The research format for emergency assistance will more than likely be altered to gain more detailed data in the future. Of particular importance are details on postcodes of clients, frequency of assistance, type of pension or benefit, family structure, purpose of assistance and housing costs. Data of this nature would allow for fine tuning of emergency assistance to groups and areas identified as most economically disadvantaged. It would also make easier comparison of survey data with information available from the Australian Bureau of Statistics and the Department of Social Security.

There is much discussion at present concerning the poverty line and the identification of those groups receiving pensions and benefits who are particularly disadvantaged in the current income maintenance arrangements. The Department is extensively involved on a State wide basis in the provision of emergency assistance and it is hoped that with further analysis of expenditure and clientele the Department will be in a stronger position to advocate mode forefully for the interests of these groups.

Assistance from the Field Executive, local Offices and the Management Improvement Unit in the preparation of this report is gratefully appreciated.

Table 1

#### 2.1. N.S.W. Summary

	1st November, 1977, to 31st January, 1978	1st November, 1978, to 31st January, 1979	1st November, 1979, to 31st January, 1980	1st November, 1980, to 31st January, 1981
Number of grants issued	5 296	7 426	10 527	17 000
Number of grants issued to persons on Commonwealth income maintenance.	3 985	6 098	8 085	15 770
Percentage of total grants issued to persons on Commonwealth income maintenance.		82.1 %	76.8 %	92.8 %
Number of grants issued exceeding \$50	No calculations made —country delegation only \$25.	1 844	2 929	4 776
Percentage of all grants issued exceeding \$50.	No calculations made —country delegation only \$25.	24.8 %	27.8 %	28.1 %
Average amount of each grant	\$44.94	\$43.07	\$47.71	\$48.77
Total cost grants issued in survey —period.	\$238,013.04	\$319,894.47	\$493,136.79	\$829,151.25
Percentage increase in expenditure over previous survey period.	N/A	34.40 %	54.16%	68.14%

#### 2.2 Grants issued over the survey periods

Grants issued over the survey periods have increased each year. Details are as follows:

Year	Grants issued over the survey period 1st November to 31st January	Projected number of grants issued over full year	Percentage increase in grants compared to previous year
1977–78	5 296	21 184	per cent
1978-79 1979-80	7 426 10 527	29 704 42 108	40.2 41.8

If the above projected yearly figures are accurate, the number of grants which will be made in the current financial year will exceed the number made in the 1977–78 financial year by some 47 000, an increase of 221 per cent. In absolute and relative terms this is a considerable increase over the four year period.

The number of grants issued in any year can be taken as a reasonable indicator of staff time spent in this part of the emergency assistance programme. A conservative estimate of staff time involved in the issue of each grant would be thirty minutes. This time includes assessment, writing reports, consultation with delegated officers, giving other referrals and issuing cheques. It averages travelling time spent on home visits against interviews conducted at District Offices.

The most recent survey result suggests that 68 000 grants will be issued over the current financial year. If the average amount of time spent on each grant is 30 minutes then 34 000 man hours or 23 man years can be expected to be allocated to this area of field work in the current year.

It needs also to be borne in mind that Departmental Social Welfare Assistance includes, as well as cash grants, the issue of clothes, blankets, spectacles, surgical aids, transport concessions and rail warrants. The number of issues made in these other areas was not tabulated in the survey results. Staff time involved would not be inconsiderable and would need to be added to the projected staff time for cash grants for an

overall picture of Departmental staff resources allocated to the Social Welfare component of the Emergency Assistance Programme.

## 2.3 Grants issued to persons on Commonwealth Income Maintenance

Over the four survey periods the following percentages of total grants have been issued to persons in receipt of Commonwealth Pensions and benefits:

	Year		Percentage of grants going to Commonwealth clients
1077 70			per cent
1977–78	 	 	75.25
1978-79	 	 	82.12
1979-80		 	76.80*
1980-81			92.76
1300-01	 	 	92.70

The most recent survey result of 92.76 per cent has undoubtedly been influenced by the Commonwealth's assumption of responsibility for all sole parents, a decision which came into effect at the beginning of the last survey period. In previous surveys sole parents for the first six months received Family Assistance from this Department and hence cash grants issued to these clients were not included under the category of grants issued to Commonwealth clients. Given that there were approximately 4 000 Family Assistance clients at the time the change over occurred and bearing in mind that Family Assistance clients were probably proportionally over represented in the number of grants issued, it is reasonable to conclude that a significant component of the recent proportional increase in Commonwealth clients can be attributed to this Department's no longer being responsible for Family Assistance payments.

Two points of particular importance in analysing characteristics of applicants who received assistance are—

(a) the proportion of grants allocated to the identified target group (in other words, whether grants were made to people thought to be most in need of assistance); and

(b) the extent to which grants issued reached the entire targe group (the proportion of potentially eligible people who actually applied for and received assistance).

In answer to the first point, Administrative Instruction D45 clearly identifies the target group as being persons in receipt of Commonwealth Pensions and benefits. Other low income earners are eligible only in so far as their incomes are equivalent to or less than what they would have received had they been in possession of a full benefit or pension. The survey data indicates that 19 out of 20 grants are issued to persons receiving commonwealth income maintenance and from this perspective it can be seen that the programme is being administered according to policy guidelines and is clearly directed towards the most economically disadvantaged groups in the community.

\* The result for 1979-80 probably under-represents grants to pensioners and beneficiaries. One metropolitan office gave a return indicating only 12 per cent of grants were issued to commonwealth clients, against an average of 85-90 per cent for other metropolitan offices. If this return were inaccurate, as appears likely, the overall result needs to be revised, upwards and the true figure for that year could be assumed to be approximately 80 per cent.

The second point is concerned with an overall assessment of need, the number of persons potentially requiring or eligible for assistance and the degree to which the Department has met their needs. These issues are complex and because of insufficient data a precise answer is not able to be given.

As at 13th February, 1981, there were 708 106 persons in New South Wales in receipt of various commonwealth pensions. At the same period it is estimated there were 115 000 persons in New South Wales in receipt of Commonwealth benefits (a precise figure is unavailable for benefits due to industrial action in the Department of Social Security). Combining these one arrives at a figure of some 820 000 persons in this State receiving some form of pension or benefit. Not all these individuals are eligible for emergency assistance since some pensioners receive only a part pension due to other income they may receive. As well, age pensions for persons over 70 years of age are paid free of means test. A more useful statistics for this Department's purposes would be household units relying solely or primarily on a pension or benefit. If data were available in this form it would much more closely approximate the target group eligible for Departmental assistance.

A study at Mt Druitt District Office of 1888 applications over a two year period between 25th March, 1978 and 25th March, 1980 indicated that families applying for assistance received on average two cash grants in a twelve month period. A similar analysis has not been undertaken at other Offices and reservations should be held about generalising the results beyond Mt Druitt. If, however, it is shown to be a reliable figure, it would indicate that the 68 000 grants expected to be made in the current year will actually reach only 34 000 individuals and families. When this figure is contrasted with the 820 000 persons receiving pensions and benefits one realises that there is still a large number of potentially eligible clients who have not as yet sought assistance from the Department.

The increase in the number of grants made each year would suggest that the Department's emergency assistance is becoming more well known, more acceptable, and, given a variety of social problems such as high unemployment and increasing housing costs, perhaps more necessary. The combination of these three factors would lend weight to the argument that the pressure to continue to expand emergency assistance will be maintained.

## 2.4 Average amounts of grants

The four year analysis indicates that the average overall level of assistance has increased only marginally and has in fact fallen behind cost of living increases over the same period.

Year	Average grant issued in the four metropolitan regions	Average grant issued in the six country regions	Average overall grant
1977–78	\$ 48.33	\$ 37.86	\$ 44.94
1978–79	44.10	41.09	43.07
1979-80	48.03	44.81	47.71
1980-81	47.65	50.77	48.77

The average overall grant has increased by \$3.83 over four years, an increase of only 8.5 per cent. Metropolitan Offices have remained reasonably consistent in average levels of grants, while Country Officers as a group have increased their average grant each year. The average grant made in a country region increased by \$12.91 over the last four years, a rise of 34.1 per cent.

The increase in the overall average grant level can thus be attributed to Country Offices "catching up" to the average grant levels issued by Offices in the four Metropolitan regions.

Nearly two-thirds of all grants issued are processed by the four metropolitan regions. It is not known why average grant levels have marginally declined in these regions over the four years, nor is it known why average grant levels have increased in the six country regions over the same period. One interpretation could be that, as a region or District Office increases the number of grants issued, there is a corresponsive reluctance to increase the average level of assistance. If this is true, it would appear to be an informal adjustment since, as far as is known, there has not been a great deal of structured feedback to individual offices on their expenditures for emergency assistance.

#### 2.5 Total Expenditures

Expenditures over the four survey periods, each of three months duration, are set out below.

Year	Number of grants	Average amount	Total expenditure
		\$	\$
1977-78	5 296	44.94	238,013.04
1978-79	7 426	43.07	319,894.47
1979-80	10 527	47.71	493,136.79
1980-81	17 000	48.77	829,151.25

Expenditure over the four survey periods has increased by 248 per cent while the number of grants issued has increased by 221 per cent. The higher rate of increase in expenditure is due to the 8.5 per cent in the amount of overall average grant.

Projecting the 1980-81 survey over the full year one could expect an expenditure of some \$3,317,000 for Special Cash Assistance in fiscal year 1980-81. Expenditure on Continuing Cash Grants would need to be added to this figure for total outlays to be calculated.

At the present time Special Cash Assistance outlays (excluding staffing and administrative costs) represent approximately 3 per cent of the total Departmental budget.

## 3. INTER REGIONAL VARIATIONS-1977-78-1980-81

Over the last three years the three regions issuing the highest number of grants have been, in order: Central/South East Metropolitan; North West Metropolitan and South West Metropolitan. The same ranking holds true for expenditures by regions. The most recent survey indicated that these three regions accounted for 58.8 per cent of all grants issued.

Department of Youth and Community Services: A Review of Some Aspects of the Social Welfare Program, June, 1980, p. 37.

Over the last four years the breakdown in grants issued by the six country and four metropolitan regions has been as follows:

	1977–78	1978–79	1979–80	1980–81
Metropolitan Regions	 per cent 67.65	per cent 65.95	per cent 63.09	per cent 64.11
Country Regions	 32.25	34.05	36.91	35.89
				i

A more detailed presentation of data is contained in Table II below. The 1980-81 regional rankings of average grant levels are set out below.

	Region A	verage amount
		\$
1.	Hunter	55.57
2.	New England	55.31
3.	North East Metropolitan	55.25
4.	North Coast	50.80
5.	North West Metropolitan	49.82
6.	Central West/Orana/Far West	49.31
7.	Riverina Murray	48.88
8.	Illawarra/South Eastern	48.31
9.	Central/South East Metropolitan	47.93
10.	South West Metropolitan	41.93

The difference between highest and lowest average regional grants was \$13.64. Seven of the ten regions issued average grants above the overall average of \$48.77; however, the three regions issuing below average grants (Illawarra/South East,

Central/South East Metropolitan and South West Metropolitan) accounted for 52.9 per cent of all grants issued. In general, the survey suggested an inverse relationship between regional rankings for grants issued and regional rankings for average amounts of grants (i.e., the fewer grants issued, the higher the average amount tended to be). This point is also discussed in section 2.4. A detailed breakdown over four years is available in Table III on this page.

As perhaps would be expected there is a strong positive correlation between regional rankings of grants issued and regional rankings of expenditure.

The proportion of total expenditure in survey periods incurred by country and metropolitan regions is out below—

	1977–78	1978–79	1979–80	1980–81
Metropolitan Regions .	per cent 72.75	per cent 67.53	per cent 64.68	per cent 62.64
Country Regions	. 27.25	32.47	35.32	37.36

It can be seen that country regions have accounted for a consistently increasing proportion of total outlays. They have, however, increased their share of grants issued over the same period by only 3.5 per cent. The difference between increases in grants and expenditure is explained, as mentioned in section 2.4, by the increases in average amounts of grants, something which occurred to a far greater degree in the country than the metropolitan area.

A detailed breakdown of regional expenditure is available in Table IV on page 49.

Table II—1977-78—1980-81 analysis of grants issued

Regions	Number of grants	Percentage of total grants	Ranking		Percentage of total grants	Ranking	Number of grants	Percentage of total	Ranking	Number of grants	Percentage of total grants	Ranking
Central/South East Metropolitan South West Metropolitan North East Metropolitan North West Metropolitan Hunter Illawarra South Eastern Riverina/Murray North Coast New England Central West/Orana/Far West Total 10 Regions	1 420 602 613 984 150 620 135 209 184 415	per cent 26.8 11.4 11.6 17.9 2.8 11.7 2.6 4.0 3.5 7.8	1 5 4 2 9 3 10 7 8 6	1 879 1 028 611 1 380 225 727 256 410 279 631 7 426	per cent 25.3 13.8 8.2 18.6 3.0 9.8 3.5 5.5 3.8 8.5	1 3 6 2 10 4 9 7 8 5	2 844 1 294 883 1 620 410 1 005 451 600 507 913	per cent 27.0 12.3 8.4 15.4 3.9 9.6 4.3 5.7 4.8 8.7	1 2 6 2 10 4 9 7 8 5	5 011 2 412 897 2 578 517 1 576 522 1 318 923 1 246	per cent 29.5 14.2 5.3 15.2 3.0 9.3 3.1 7.8 5.4 7.3	1 3 8 2 10 4 9 5 7 6

Table III-1977-78-1980-81 analysis of average grant levels

Regions	Number of grants	Average amount of grant	Total cost of grant	Number of grants	Average amount of grant	Total cost of grants	Number of grants	Average amount of grant	Total cost of grants	Number of grants	Average amount of grant	Total cost of grants
Central/South East Metropolitan South West Metropolitan North East Metropolitan North West Metropolitan Hunter Ilawarra/South Eastern Riverina/Murray North Coast New England Central West/Orana/Far West Total 10 Regions	602 613	\$ 48.44 45.79 43.65 52.79 36.93 42.08 30.63 31.82 41.31 34.89	\$ 68,791.62 27,564.77 26,756.64 50,041.19 5,539.19 26,091.59 4,135.34 6,651.22 7,600.66 14,840.82 238,013.04	1 879 1 028 611 1 380 225 727 256 410 279 631	\$ 47.25 40.29 43.33 43.01 40.17 39.98 48.07 39.13 45.53 39.03 43.07	\$85,775.39 41,417.57 26,472.19 59,357.57 9,037.32 29,068.21 12,304.70 16,042.30 12,703.04 24,627.24 319,894.47	2 844 1 294 883 1 620 410 1 005 451 600 507 913	\$ 50.21 45.93 52.31 43.55 43.27 41.18 43.12 51.10 54.06 41.17	\$ 142,783.66 59,427.66 46,186.64 70,555.21 17,740.08 41,338.89 19,444.99 30,658.05 27,409.00 37,592.58	5 001 2 412 897 2 578 517 1 576 522 1 318 923 1 246	\$ 47.93 41.93 55.25 49.82 55.57 48.31 48.88 50.80 55.31 49.31	\$240,199,90 101,145,02 49,557,40 128,428,10 28,729,76 76,129,69 25,516,90 66,949,96 51,048,24 61,446,28 829,151,25

#### Table IV-1977-78-1980-81 analysis of expenditure

Regions	Cost of grants	Percentage of total expenditure	Rank- ing	Cost of grants	Percentage of total expenditure	Rank- ing	Cost of grants	Percentage of total expenditure	Rank- ing	Cost of grants	Percentage of total expenditure	Rank-
Central/South East Metro-		per cent		\$	per cent		\$	per cent		\$	per cent	
politan South West Metropolitan	68,791.62 27.564.77	28.9 11.6	1 3	88,775.39 41.417.57	27.8 13.0	1 3	142,783.66 59,427.69	29.0 12.1	1 3	240,199.90 101,145.02	29.0 12.2	1 3
North East Metropolitan	26,756.64	11.2	4	26,472.19	8.3	5	46,186.64	9.4	4	49,557.40	6.0	8
North West Metropolitan	50,041.19	21.0	2	59,357.51	18.6	2	70,555.21	14.3	2	128,428.10	15.5	2
Hunter Illawarra/South Eastern	5,539.19 26,091.59	11.0	5	9,037.32 29,068.21	2.8 9.1	10	17,740.08 41.338.89	3.6 8.4	10	28,729.76 76,129.69	3.5 9.2	4
Riverina/Murray	4,135.34	1.7	10	12,304.70	3.9	9	19,444.99	3.9	9	25,516.90	3.1	10
North Coast	6,651.22	2.8	8	16,042.30	5.0	7	30,658.05	6.2	7	66,949.96	8.1	5
New England Central West/Orana/	7,600.66	3.2	/	12,703.04	4.0	8	27,409.00	5.6	8	51,048.24	6.2	7
Far West	14,840.82	6.2	6	24,716.24	7.7	6	37,592.58	7.6	6	61,446.28	7.4	6
Total 10 regions	\$238,013.04	100%		\$319,894.47	100%		\$493,136.79	100%		\$829,151.25	100%	

#### 4. INTRA-REGIONAL BREAKDOWNS-1977-78-1980-81

#### 4.1. Central/South East Metropolitan Region-Analysis of 1980-81 Survey

(a) Grants-

Number—5 011.

Proportion of State total—29.5 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-76.2 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-First.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount—\$240,199.90.

Proportion of State total-29.0 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979–80—68.2 per cent

Regional ranking among 10 regions—First.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount-\$47.93.

Percentage change from 1979-80—4.5 per cent decrease.

Variation from State average—\$0.84 less.

Regional Ranking among 10 regions-Ninth.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients—Number—4 649.

Proportion of Regional total—92.8 per cent.

Region: Central|South East Metropolitan—Nov. 1980—31 Jan. 1981 Survey

Offices	Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
Angel Personal Person		per cent			\$	\$	\$
Burwood	360 577 625 750 135 1518 89 957	7.2 4.5 12.5 15.0 2.7 30.3 1.8 19.1	253 562 575 735 135 1 496 67 827	107 254 365 231 66 298 41 90	59.51 66.13 50.56 50.37 62.21 43.72 71.81 31.43	+11.58 +18.20 + 2.63 + 244 +14.21 - 4.21 +23.88 -16.50	21,424.90 38,159.52 31,600.82 37,778.27 8,398.40 66,368.88 6,390.68 30,078.43
Total—Eight Offices	5 011	100%	4 649	1 452	\$47.93	\$00.00	\$240,199.90

## Region: Central|South East Metropolitan—1977-78—1980-81 analysis

		1977–78	3		1978–7	9	V.	1979–8	0		1980–8	1
Offices	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost
		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Burwood Hurstville Leichhardt Maroubra Junction* Stanmore Statherland	 212 135 230 200 82 305 49 207	49.82 58.22 43.49 60.96 50.09 45.17 49.38 38.01	10,562.39 7,860.16 10,002.66 12,191.61 4,107.60 13,778.38 2,419.54 7,869.29	164 202 177 419 64 475 85 293	51.64 54.87 50.67 39.70 51.46 41.29 64.19 52.08	8,469.45 11,082.19 8,969.59 16,633.00 3,293.68 19,612.27 5,456.21 15,259.00	156 452 329 373 60 919 102 453	59.38 54.52 67.51 49.11 60.04 42.57 71.30 40.53	9,263.52 24,642.12 22,211.54 18,302.14 3,602.48 39,127.00 7,272.39 18,362.47	360 577 625 750 135 1 518 89 957	59.51 66.13 50.56 50.37 62.21 43.72 71.81 31.43	21,424,90 38,159,52 31,600,82 37,778,27 8,398,40 66,368,88 6,390,68 30,078,43
Total 8 Offices	 1 420	48.44	\$68,791.62	1 879	47.25	\$88,775.39	2 844	50.21	\$142,783.66	5 011	47.93	\$240,199.90

<sup>\*</sup>Bondi Junction and Maroubra Junction were not open over the surveys 1977-78—1979-80. Figures were available for Kingsford Office for these surveys. As Kingsford covered the area now done by Maroubra and Bondi Junction (excluding some suburbs then done by Sydney Office), grants and expenditure of Kingsford Office were allocated to Bondi Junction and Maroubra Junction in the ratio indicated by the current survey (i.e., 72 per cent to Bondi Junction 28 per cent to Maroubra Junction). This is not completely satisfactory but does allow some comparisons to be made.

### 4.2. South West Metropolitan Region-Analysis of 1980-81 Survey-

(a) Grants-

Number—2 412.

Proportion of State total—14.2 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80—86.4 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Third.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount-\$101,145.02.

Proportion of State total—12.2 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-70.2 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—Third.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount-\$41.93.

Percentage change from 1979-80-87 per cent decrease.

Variation from State average—\$6.68 less.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—Tenth.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients-

Number—2 376.

Proportion of Regional total—98.5 per cent.

Region: South West Metropolitan—1977-78—1980-81 analysis

		1977–7	8		1978–7	9		1979–8	0		1980–8	31
Offices	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost									
		\$	\$		s	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Bankstown Camden (14th December,	109	52.61	5,734.63	172	54.55	9,382.63	119	53.56	6,373.23	178	62.88	11,191.7
1980—31st January, 1981)		Not Op	en		Not Op	en l		Not Op	en	15	37.15	557.25
Campbelltown	96	35.69	3,425.88	253	24.36	6,164.16	426	33.22	14,150.81	868	30.45	26,429.72
Fairfield	245	51.57	12,634.09	326	43.75	14,263.56	377	50.23	18,938.65	716	45.40	32,509.12
Liverpool .:	152	37.96	5,770.17	277	41.90	11,607.22	372	53.67	19,965.00	635	47.96	30,457.16
Total (Five Offices)	602	\$45.79	\$27,564.77	1 028	\$40.29	\$41,417.57	1 294	\$45.93	\$59.427.69	2 412	\$41.93	\$101,145.02

Region: South West Metropolitan-1st November, 1980-31st January, 1981-Survey

Offices	Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of of Grants . to Commonwealth Clients	Number of Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
		per cent			\$	\$	\$
Bankstown	 178 15 868 716 635	7.4 0.6 36.0 29.7 26.3	157 14 855 716 634	98 2 100 115 131	62.88 + 37.15 - 30.45 - 45.40 + 47.96 +	20.95 4.78 11.48 3.47 6.03	11,191.77 557.25 26,429.72 32,509.12 30,457.16
Total—Five Offices	 2 412	100 %	2 376	446	\$41.93	\$00.00	\$101,145.02

### 4.3. North East Metropolitan Region-Analysis of 1980-81 Survey-

(a) Grants—

Number—897.

Proportion of State total—5.3 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-1.6 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—Eighth.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount—\$49,557.40.

Proportion of State total-6.0 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979–80—7.3 per cent

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Eighth.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount—\$55.25.

Percentage change from 1979–80—5.6 per cent increase.

Variation from State average—\$6.48 additional. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Third.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients-

Number-855.

Proportion of Regional total-95.3 per cent.

Region: North East Metropolitan—1st November, 1980—31st January, 1981—Survey

		Offices				Number of Grants	Percentage of Total Grants in Region	Number of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
							per cent			\$	\$	\$
Chatswood Dee Why Ryde Gosford Long Jetty Umina					::	85 584 103 36 41 48	95.0 65.1 11.5 4.0 4.6 5.4	67 583 89 34 40 42	37 189 63 6 11	66.84 52.32 67.44 44.03 50.11 57.03	+11.59 - 2.93 +12.99 -11.22 - 5.14 - 1.78	5,681.36 30,552.40 6,946.73 1,585.00 2,054.43 2,737.48
To	otal-	–Six Offi	ices	**		897	100%	855	321	55.25	\$00.00	\$49,557.40

Region: North East Metropolitan—1977-78—1980-81 analysis

		1977–78	3		1978–7	9		1979–8	0		1980–8	1
Offices	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost
		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Chatswood Dee Why	261 225 69 15 9 34 613	49.85 39.41 51.22 24.67 16.33 24.31 \$43.65	13,011.35 8,867.36 3,534.35 370.00 147.00 826.58 \$26,756.64	142 306 51 44 22 46 611	37.92 40.92 85.72 35.31 38.64 38.95 \$43.33	5,384,86 12,520.69 4,371.53 1,553.50 850.00 1,791.61 \$26,472.19	150 440 74 121 56 42 883	64.03 48.14 54.35 53.74 36.38 67.56 \$52.31	9,605.14 21,181.52 4,022.00 6,503.19 2,037.42 2,837.37 \$46,186.64	85 584 103 36 41 48 897	66.84 52.32 67.44 44.03 50.11 57.03 55.25	5,681.36 30,552.40 6,946.73 1,583.00 2,054.43 2,737.48 \$49,557.40

## 4.4. North West Metropolitan Region-Analysis of 1980-81 Survey-

(a) Grants-

Number—2 578.

Proportion of State total—15.2 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-59.1 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-second.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount—\$128,428.10.

Proportion of State Total-15.5 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-82.0 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—second.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount—\$49.82.

Percentage change from 1979-80-14.4 per cent increase

Variation from State average—\$1.05 addition. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Fifth.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients-

Number—2 516. Proportion of Regional total—\$97.6 per cent.

Region: North West Metropolitan—1st November, 1980—31st January, 1981—Survey

	Offices			Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number of Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
					per cent			\$	\$	\$
Blacktown Mount Druitt Parramatta Penrith Katoomba	::		::	 469 917 614 470 108	18.2 35.5 23.8 18.2 4.2	469 916 598 443 90	134 174 216 160 18	53.75 44.08 52.61 56.97 34.44	$\begin{array}{c c} + & 3.93 \\ - & 5.74 \\ + & 2.79 \\ + & 7.15 \\ -15.38 \end{array}$	25,206.80 40,420.14 32,305.24 26,776.84 3,719.08
Total—	-Five O	ffices		 2 578	100%	2 516	702	\$49.82	00.00	\$128,428.10

#### Region: North West Metropolitan—1977-78—1980-81 analysis

				1977–7	8		1978–7	79		1979-8	80		1980–8	31
Office	s		Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost
				\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Blacktown Mt Druitt Parramatta Penrith Katoomba	::	::	183 301 269 195 No	43.55 42.75 51.09 79.30 Return R	7,966.78 12,867.22 13,742.32 15,464.87 eceived	147 440 413 338 42	40.16 38.57 45.50 47.46 39.35	5,903.17 16,964.93 18,795.02 16,041.72 1,652.67	172 600 322 423 103	61.77 42.02 53.77 50.70 48.09	10,624.22 25,216.47 17,313.22 12,447.80 4,953.50	469 917 614 470 108	53.75 44.08 52.61 56.97 34.44	25,206.80 40,420.14 32,305.24 26,776.84 3,719.08
Total			945	\$52.79	\$50,041.19	1 380	\$43.01	\$59,357.51	1 620	\$43.55	\$70,555.21	2 578	\$49.82	\$128,428.10

#### 4.5. Hunter Region-Analysis of 1980-81 Survey-

(a) Grants-

Number—517.

Proportion of State total-3.0 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-26.1 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—Tenth.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount—\$28,729.76.

Proportion of State Total-3.5 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-62.0 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Ninth.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount—\$55.57.

Percentage change from 1979-80-28.4 per cent increase.

Variation from State average—\$6.80 additional. Regional ranking among 10 regions—First.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients-

Number-461.

Proportion of Regional total-89.2 per cent.

Region: Hunter—1st November, 1980—31st January, 1981—Survey

	Offices			Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
					per cent			\$	\$	\$
Newcastle			 	132	25.5	124	50	41.52	-14.05	5,481.00
Cardiff			 	93	18.0	87	36	61.77	+ 6.20	5,744.52
Cessnock			 	69	13.4	64 50 24	27	59.04	+ 3.47	4,074.04
Maitland			 	53	10.3	50	25	71.60	+16.03	3,794.88
Muswellbrook			 	31	6.0	24	6	48.44	- 7.13	1,501.69
Raymond Terra	ice		 	73	14.1	64	31	61.65	+ 6.08	4,500.20
Singleton			 	11	2.1	7	4	56.36	- 0.79	620.00
Taree			 	55	10.6	41	14	54.79	- 0.78	3,013.43
Total-	-Eight C	offices	 	517	100%	461	193	\$55.57	\$0.00	\$28,729.76

## 4.6 Illawarra/South Eastern Region—Analysis of 1980-81 Survey—

(a) Grants-

Number—1 576.

Proportion of State total—9.3 per cent. Percentage change from 1979-80—56.8 per cent. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Fourth.

(b) Expenditure—

Amount—\$76,129.69.

Proportion of State Total-9.2 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80—84.2 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—Fourth.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount-\$48.31.

Percentage change from 1979-80-17.3 per cent increase.

Variation from State average—\$0.46 less. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Eighth.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients— Number—1 430.

Proportion of Regional total-90.7 per cent.

	Office	es		Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number of Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
					per cent			\$	\$	\$
Batemans Bay Bega Bowral Cooma Goulburn Nowra Queanbeyan Warilla Wollongong				 74 82 32 20 73 174 521 244 356	4.7 5.2 2.0 1.3 4.6 11.0 33.1 15.5 22.5	53 66 30 14 64 174 453 241 335	5 10 6 6 33 28 99 93 124	26.06 39.26 39.27 48.57 53.67 43.49 42.37 65.10 54.25	-22.25 - 9.05 - 9.04 + 0.26 + 5.36 - 4.82 - 5.94 +16.79 - 5.94	1,928.50 3,218.94 1,256.75 971.36 3,918.02 7,567.27 22,072.18 15,883.52 19,313.15
Total-	-Nine (	Offices	•	 1 576	100%	1 430	404	\$48.31	\$00.00	\$76,129.69

Region: Illawarra/South Eastern—1977-78—1980-81 analysis

			1977–7	8	*	1978–7	9		1979–8	0		1980–8	1
Offices		Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost
			\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Batemans Bay Bega Bowral Cooma Coolburn Nowra Queanbeyan Warilla Wollongong		 40 27 25 24 122 181 201	35.97 39.97 38.12 Not ope 38.19 36.73 35.64 Not ope 53.59	916.50 4,481.79 6,450.42	48 59 28 22 154 242 72 102	36.29 33.17 43.86 Not ope 56.59 33.55 37.12 56.47 45.88	1,742.15 1,956.86 1,228.04 en 1,245.07 5,167.48 8,982.23 4,066.06 4,680.32	47 55 23 38 200 371 108 163	41.72 50.78 32.17 Not ope 51.84 34.28 36.59 47.80 51.12	1,960.93 2,793.00 739.98 en 1,969.92 6,855.78 13,573.49 5,162.64 8,333.15	74 82 32 20 73 174 521 244 356	26.06 39.26 39.27 48.57 53.67 43.49 42.37 65.10 54.25	1,928.50 3,218.94 1,256.75 971.36 3,918.02 27,567.27 2,072.18 15,883.52 19,313.15
Total 9 Offices		 620	\$42.08	\$26,091.59	727	\$39.98	\$29,068.21	1 005	\$41.18	\$41,388.89	1 576	\$48.31	\$76,129.69

## 4.7. Riverina/Murray Region—Analysis of 1980-81 Survey-

(a) Grants-

Number—522.

Proportion of State total-3.1 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979–80—15.7 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Ninth.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount—\$25,516.90.

Proportion of State total—3.1 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-31.2 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—Tenth.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount—\$48.88.

Percentage change from 1979/80— 13.4 per cent

Variation from State average—\$0.11 additional. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Seventh.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients— Number—450.

Proportion of Regional total-86.2 per cent.

Region—Riverina/Murray—1st November, 1980—31st January, 1981—Survey

		Offices			Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number of Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Regional Average Grant	Total Cost of Grants
						per cent			\$	\$	\$
Albury			 		88	16.9	81	39	54.60	+15.72	4,805.07
Cootamund	ra		 		31	5.9	27	8	48.39	- 0.49	1,500.00
Dareton			 		29	5.6	27 25	4	31.40	-17.48	910.46
Deniliquin			 		46	8.8	35 87	7	38.97	- 9.91	1,792.50
Griffith			 		92	17.7	87	37	56.66	+ 7.78	5,212.50
Leeton			 	(	48	9.2	31	8	35.40	-13.48	1,699.04
Wagga			 		104	19.9	104	35	55.45	+ 6.57	5,767.26
Young			 		84	16.1	60	18	45.60	- 3.28	3,830.07
To	otal		 		522	100%	450	156	\$48.88	\$00.00	\$25,516.90

Region: Riverina/Murray-1977-78 to 1980-81 analysis

				1977–7	78		1978–	79		1979–8	30		1980–8	1
Offic	es		Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost
				\$	\$		\$	s		\$	\$		\$	\$
Albury Cootamundra Dareton Deniliquin Griffith Leeton Wagga Young			7 14 8 12 49 9 19	27.26 42.37 17.50 28.58 25.51 19.81 44.05 35.47	190.80 593.16 140.00 343.00 1,250.08 178.30 837.00 603.00	38 15 16 33 39 9 42 64	35.96 75.88 40.81 37.15 52.70 27.93 47.86 56.31	1,366.53 1,138.20 652.95 1,226.00 2,055.31 251.35 1,010.19 3,604.17	78 42 9 40 74 23 59 126	44.50 51.11 52.91 55.96 49:12 27.76 43.11 34.09	3,471.03 2,146.50 476.24 2,238.56 3,634.87 638.40 2,543.61 4,295.78	88 31 29 46 92 48 104 84	54.60 48.39 31.40 38.97 56.66 35.40 55.45 45.60	4,805.07 1,500.00 910.46 1,792.50 5,212.50 1,699.04 5,767.26 3,830.07
Total (Eig	ght Off	ices)	135	\$30.63	\$4,135.34	256	\$48.07	\$12,304.70	451	\$43.12	\$19,444.99	522	\$48.88	\$25,516.90

### 4.8. North Coast Region-Analysis of 1980-81 Survey-

(a) Grants-

Number—1 318.

Proportion of State total—7.8 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-11.9 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Fifth.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount-\$66,949.96.

Proportion of State Total-8.1 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80—118.4 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions—Fifth.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount—\$50.80.

Percentage change from 1979-80—1.4 per cent increase.

Variation from State average—\$2.03 additional. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Fourth.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients-

Number—1 139.

Proportion of Regional total—86.4 per cent.

Region: North Coast—1st November, 1980—31st January, 1981—Survey

			Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number of Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants	
Casino Coffs Harbour Grafton Kempsey Lismore Murwillumbah Port Macquarie	::		 	398 45 96 78 505 103 93	per cent 30.3 3.4 7.3 5.9 38.3 7.8 7.1	320 41 91 68 440 99 80	137 9 27 10 122 18 44	\$ 48.79 43.47 48.08 29.41 58.79 37.35 55.15	\$ - 2.01 - 7.33 - 2.72 - 21.39 + 7.99 - 13.45 + 4.35	\$ 19,417.25 1,956.05 4,615.97 2,293.99 29,691.01 3,847.19 5,128.50
Total—	Seven (	Offices	 	1 318	100%	1 139	367	\$50.80	\$00.00	\$66,949.96

Region: North Coast—1977-78—1980-81 analysis

				1977–78	3	1978–79				1979-8	0	1980–81		
Offices		Numb of gran		Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average	Total cost
7				\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Casino Coffs Harbour Grafton Kempsey Lismore Murwillumbah Port Macquarie			36 19 20 27 37 39 31	47.78 20.91 19.75 27.91 23.81 36.86 34.10	1,720.00 397.28 395.00 763.50 881.00 1,437.44 1,057.00	106 15 19 47 122 57 44	39.29 34.14 28.45 33.89 43.48 32.32 47.39	4,164.53 512.12 540.57 1,593.00 5,304.58 1,842.50 2,085.00	192 5 58 43 145 63 94	52.42 58.56 36.44 39.23 52.13 36.64 70.58	10,063.90 292.80 2,113.65 1,686.79 7,558.39 2,308.30 6,634.22	398 45 96 78 505 103 93	48.79 43.47 48.08 29.41 58.79 37.35 55.15	19,417.25 1,956.05 4,615.97 2,293.99 29,691.01 3,847.19 5,128.50
Total 7 Offices			209	\$31.82	\$6,651.22	410	\$39.13	\$16,042.30	600	\$51.10	\$30,658.05	1 318	\$50.80	\$66,949.9

#### 4.9. New England Region-Analysis of 1980-81 Survey-

(a) Grants-

Number-923.

Proportion of State total-5.4 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-82.1 per cent increase

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Seventh.

(b) Expenditure-

Amount—\$51,048.24.

Proportion of State total-6.2 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-86.3 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Seventh.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount \$55.31.

Percentage change from 1979-80—2.3 per cent increase.

Variation from State average—\$6.54 additional. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Second.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients-

Number-828.

Proportion of Regional total-89.7 per cent.

Region: New England-1st November, 1980 to 31st January, 1981 Survey

Offices					Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number of Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
						per cent			\$	\$	\$
Armidale					 149	16.1	115	72	64.01	+18.70	9,536.76
Glen Innes					 70	7.5	55	13	36.03	-19.28	2,522.04
Gunnedah					 46	5.0	46	24	56.24	+ 0.23	2,586.93
Inverell					 151	16.4	151	88	53.42	- 1.89	8,066.63
Moree					 187	20.3	186	45	43.39	-11.92	8,114.51
Narrabri					 96	10.4	64	30	60.45	+ 5.14	5,803.29
Tamworth		• •			 224	24.3	211	101	64.37	+ 9.06	14,418.08
To	otal-	—Seven (	Offices		 928	100%	828	373	\$55.31	\$00.00	\$51,048.24

## Region: New England-1977-78-1980-81 analysis

		1977–7	8		1978-7	9		1979–8	0	1980–81		
Offices	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	Number of Grants	Average amount	Total Cost	
		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
Armidale		41.55 Not On	1,662.00	26	44.24 Not	1,150.38 Open	120	45.76 No	5,491.21 ot Open	149 70	64.01 36.03	9,536.76 2,522.04
Gunnedah	10 10 33 32 59	33.00 20.00 29.56 41.75 52.49	330.00 200.00 975.59 1,336.18 3,096.89	10 7 92 45 99	26.50 37.66 34.28 56.69 53.73	265.00 263.60 3,153.96 2,550.90 5,319.20	27 41 150 51 118	48.18 44.20 42.75 89.74 66.23	1,300.93 1,812.20 6,412.94 4,577.00 7,814.72	46 151 187 96 224	56.24 53.42 43.39 60.45 64.37	2,586.93 8,066.63 8,114.53 5,803.29 14,418.08
Total (Seven Offices)	184	\$41.31	\$7,600.66	279	\$45.53	\$12,703.04	507	\$54.06	\$27,409.00	923	\$55.31	\$51,048.2

## 4.10. Central West-Orana-Far West Region—Analysis of 1980-81 Survey—

(a) Grants-

Number—1 246.

Proportion of State total-7.3 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-36.5 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Sixth.

(b) Expenditure—

Amount-\$61,446.28.

Proportion of State Total-7.4 per cent.

Percentage change from 1979-80-63.5 per cent increase.

Regional ranking among 10 regions-Sixth.

(c) Average Grant-

Amount-\$49.31.

Percentage change from 1979-80-19.8 per cent increase.

Variation from State average—\$.054 additional. Regional ranking among 10 regions—Sixth.

(d) Grants to Commonwealth Clients-

Number—1 065.

Proportion of Regional total-85.5 per cent.

Region: Central West/Orana/Far West—1st November, 1980—31st January, 1981—Survey

	Offices			Number of Grants	Percentage of Grants in Total Region	Number of Grants to Commonwealth Clients	Number Grants Exceeding \$50.00	Average Amount per Grant	Variation from Average Regional Grant	Total Cost of Grants
Bathurst Bourke Brewarrina Broken Hill Cobar Condobolin Coonabarabran Coonamble Cowra Dubbo Lithgow Mudgee Nyngan Orange Parkes Walgett Wellington				36 85 81 58 55 63 53 136 48 237 43 76 11 65 82 49 68	per cent 2.9 6.8 6.5 4.7 4.4 5.1 4.3 10.9 3.9 19.0 3.5 6.1 0.9 5.2 6.6 3.9 5.5	34 76 79 44 48 45 50 133 29 225 34 58 10 64 28 58	19 4 11 26 11 13 11 54 8 76 19 25 0 16 30 18 21	\$ 57.78 26.38 39.40 54.55 40.91 37.57 51.65 66.77 29.02 49.20 43.69 52.92 41.82 43.17 58.28 83.32 47.82	\$ + 8.47 - 22.93 - 9.91 + 5.24 - 8.40 - 11.74 + 2.34 + 17.36 - 20.29 - 0.11 - 5.62 + 3.61 - 7.49 - 6.14 + 8.97 + 34.01 - 1.49	\$2,080.00 2,242.27 3,191.18 3,164.07 2,250.05 2,367.21 2,737.54 9,080.36 1,392.94 11,660.60 1,878.74 4,022.20 460.00 2,805.73 4,778.64 4,082.75 3,252.00
Total—	Seventee	en Off	ices	 1 246	100%	1 065	362.00	\$49.31	\$00.00	\$61,446.28

Region: Central West|Orana|Far West-1977-78-1980-81 analysis

				1977–7	8		1978–7	9		1979–8	0	1980–81		
Offices			Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost	Number of grants	Average amount	Total cost
				\$	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$	-	\$	\$
Bathurst			10	29.49	294.94	6	48.33	290.00	20	67.00	1,340.00	36 85	57.78	2,080.00
Bourke			12	40.41	485.00	48	29.66	1,423.90	40	34.59	1,383.66	85	26.38	2,242.27 3,191.18 3,164.07 2,250.05
Brewarrina			26	19.88	517.00	29	96.66	2,803.21	46	38.80	1,785.00	81	39.40	3,191.18
Broken Hill			32	24.09	771.00	34	36.58	1,243.80	36	40.15	1,445.46	58 55 63	54.55	3,164.07
Cobar				Not ope	n	Not open			Not open			55	40.91	2,250.05
Condobolin			10	28.95	289.00	19	41.68	791.99	55	36.32	1,997.79	63	37.57	2,367.21 2,737.54
Coonabarabran			12	23.75	285.00	14	65.97	923.64	26	40.90	1,063.48	53	51.65	2,737.54
Coonamble			17	23.82	405.00	47	24.27	1,140.60	103	37.94	3,908.01	136	66.77	9,080.36 1,392.94
Cowra			10	13.10	131.00	38	23.61	897.20	35	21.15	740.20	48	29.02	1,392.94
Dubbo			104	35.14	3,655.40	111	29.54	3,278.99	194	31.79	6,166.96	237	49.20	11,660.60
Lithgow Mudgee			45	69.00	3,105.00	82	45.54	3,736.04	85	48.12	4,090.46	43	43.69	1,878.74
Mudgee		• • •	32	38.78	1,241.08	26	35.88	933.00	44	43.40	1,909.52	76	52.92	4,022.20
Nyngan			5	24.00	120.00	15	43.22	648.37	.:-	50.33	2056 40	11	41.82	460.00
Orange Parkes			36	21.80	785.00	29	39.14	1,135.00	65	59.33	3,856.40	65	43.17	2,805.73 4,778.64
Parkes	• •	• •	35	35.44	1,240.50 276.79	64	48.15	3,080.81 234.89	96 24	50.24	4,822.67	82	58.28	4,778.64
Walgett	• •		20	30.75		62	33.55	234.89	44	41.75	1,001.97	49 68	83.32	4,082.75
Wellington	• •		20	61.93	1,238.61	62	34.75	2,154.80	44	47.30	2,080.99	68	47.82	3,252.00
Total 17 Offices			415	\$34.89	\$14,840.82	631	\$39.17	\$24,716.24	913	\$41.17	\$37,592.58	1 246	\$49.31	\$61,446.28